

CECIP WEBINAR – 'CERTIFICATION IN ONE DAY'

The industry view

 Joan Martínez, Quality and Metrology Manager in Dibal, S.A. (Spain)



- The background
- EU protection of consumers
 - Health
 - Safety
 - Economy
- Need of reliable instruments -> certification



- The weighing instruments frame
- Directive 2014/31/EU -> NAWI
- Directive 2014/32/EU -> MID -> AWI
- Modules to be complied for the evaluation of conformity and accessing EU market:
 - B+D
 - B+F
 - G
 - H1 (only AWI)



- The modules for the evaluation of conformity
- Module B -> EU-Type examination
- Module G -> conformity based on unit verification
- Module H1 -> conformity based on full quality assurance plus design examination



- The modules for the evaluation of conformity
- Module B -> EU-Type examination
 - Compulsory to apply for a certificate for the type in a designated Notified Body
- Module G -> conformity based on unit verification
 - Individual tests over individual instrument
- Module H1 -> conformity based on full quality assurance plus design examination
 - Certificate of design necessary



- Only realistic solution -> module B
 - Preparation of prototype(s)
 - In-house testing
 - Issue documentation
 - Apply for certification in only 1 NB
 - Notified Body starts procedure
 - Testing at NB premises
 - Issue of the certificate



- Problem : time to access the certification
 - Factors to be considered
 - The notified body chosen
 - The complexity of the type
 - Type of instrument
 - Time to get certificate
 - In the best case for an standard application, around 5 months
 - Considering the whole Project for the manufacturer, easily 1 year



- The <u>TARGETS</u> of the weighing industry
 - Reduce time to acces the market
 - Increase the profit of each project
 - Preserve the qualty of the certification
 - Underlying idea : 'TIME IS MONEY'



- Ideas to be analyzed to achieve targets
 - Revision of current standards (OIML, EN)
 - Discuss families of instruments
 - Acceptance of third party certificates
 - Witness tests at manufacturers premises
 - Optimise testing procedure



- Revision of current standards (OIML, EN)
 - Some actual tests require long testing time
 - Endurance for instruments les tan 30 kg: 100 000 loads -> why 100 000? Why endurance?
 - Span test : 28 days -> why 28?
 - Really necessary?



- Families of instruments
 - Revise current criteria
 - Reduce number of instruments to cover family



- Acceptance of third party certificates
 - External tests are performed during pre-testing
 - Check possibility for acceptance of thir party labs -> ILAC?
 - Remove duplicity of tests and expenses



- Witness tests at manufacturers premises
 - Already accepted in the EU Directives (manufacturer and NB agree the place of testing
 - Reduce time and cost
 - Discuss availability of testing resources



- Optimise testing procedure
 - Explore the way to fastener tests
 - Number of instruments to be supplied for testing in parallel
 - Cooperation between NB's?



Thanks for your attention